

**Intellectual Property Law Group****Verizon Corporate Services Group Inc**

600 Hidden Ridge Drive  
Irving, Texas 75038  
MailCode HQE03H14  
Phone: 972718-4800  
Fax: 972718-3946  
E mail:  
christian.andersen@verizon.com

To: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office – From: Christian R. Andersen  
Examiner: LI. B. ZHEN Sr. Paralegal – Intellectual Property  
Group Art Unit: 2126  
Confirmation No. : 4170

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Fax: 703-872-9306 Pages  
with 7  
Cover:

DEC 03 2004

**FORMAL SUBMISSION OF:**

- 1) Request for Reconsideration.

Title: SYSTEM AND METHODS FOR PROVIDING A GLOBAL REAL-TIME  
ADVANCED CORRELATION ENVIRONMENT ARCHITECTURE  
Serial No. ~~99/537,071~~ 09/577 071  
Filing Date: May 23, 2000  
First Named Inventor: Gabriel JAKOBSON  
Atty. No. 99-852

**CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION**

I hereby certify that the above-referenced documents are facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below:

  
Christian R. Andersen

Date of Transmission: December 3, 2004

**RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116  
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE REQUESTED  
EXAMINING GROUP 2126**

**PATENT**  
Customer No. 32,127  
Attorney Docket No. 99-852

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Application of: )  
Gabriel JAKOBSON et al. ) Group Art Unit: 2126  
Application No.: 09/577,071 ) Examiner: Li B. ZHEN  
Filed: May 23, 2000 )  
For: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR ) Confirmation No.: 4170  
PROVIDING A GLOBAL REAL- )  
TIME ADVANCED ) **Mail Stop AF**  
CORRELATION ENVIRONMENT )  
ARCHITECTURE )

**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER  
DEC 03 2004**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION**

In reply to the Final Office Action mailed October 5, 2004, the period for response extending to January 5, 2005, please reconsider the above-identified application in light of the following remarks.

In the Final Office Action<sup>1</sup> mailed October 5, 2004, the Examiner rejected claims 1-8, 16-19, 22, 25, 28, 29, 32, and 33 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as anticipated by Lauer et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,118,936) and rejected claims 9-15, 20, 21, 23, 24, 26, 27, 30,

<sup>1</sup> The Office Action contains a number of statements reflecting characterizations of the related art and the claims. Regardless of whether any such statement is identified herein, Applicants decline to automatically subscribe to any statement or characterization in the Office Action.